Hearing Date: February 11, 2009

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 407-0700 John Wm. Butler, Jr. Albert L. Hogan, III John K. Lyons Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Times Square New York, New York 10036 (212) 735-3000

Kayalyn A. Marafioti

Thomas J. Matz

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al., Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:

Toll Free: (800) 718-5305 International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website: http://www.delphidocket.com

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		X	
		:	
In re		:	Chapter 11
		:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,		:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
		•	
	Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
		•	
		X	

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 16780 (TGI DIRECT, INC.)

("STATEMENT OF DISPUTED ISSUES – TGI DIRECT, INC.")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Statement Of Disputed Issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") With Respect To Proof Of Claim Number 16780 filed by TGI Direct, Inc. ("TGI Direct") and respectfully represent as follows:

Background

- 1. On October 8 and 14, 2005 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.
- 2. On January 10, 2008, TGI Direct filed proof of claim number 16780 (the "Proof of Claim") against Delphi. The Proof of Claim asserts an administrative claim in the amount of \$24,459.00 for the sale of goods (the "Claim").
- 3. On February 15, 2008, the Debtors objected to the Proof of Claim pursuant to the Debtors' Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims, (B) Untimely Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Untimely Claims, And (D) Claims Subject To Modification And Modified Claim Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 12686) (the "Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Claims Objection").
- 4. On March 11, 2008, TGI Direct filed its TGI Direct Inc's Response To Debtors' Twenty-Sixth Omnibus Notice Of Objection To Claim (Docket No. 13084) (the "Response").

Disputed Issues

- A. <u>Delphi Does Not Owe TGI Direct The Amount Asserted In The Proof Of Claim</u>
- 5. TGI Direct asserts in the Proof of Claim that Delphi owes TGI Direct a total of \$24,459.00 for goods sold. Delphi has reviewed the information attached to the Proof of Claim and the Response and disputes that it owes the amount asserted in the Proof of Claim.
- 6. <u>Certain Invoice Is Duplicative</u>. Invoice number 93030, which is referenced in the Proof of Claim, is also claimed in proof of claim number 8929, which was also filed by TGI Direct, which was ordered modified pursuant to the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 Disallowing and Expunging (A) Duplicate or Amended Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected on Debtors' Books and Records, (C) Untimely Claims, and (D) Claims Subject to Modification, Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation, and Claim Subject to Modification that is Subject to Prior Order Identified in Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Claims Objection (Docket No. 12363), which was entered by this Court on January 25, 2008. Therefore, \$24,459.00 should be subtracted from the amount claimed.
- 7. After taking into account the above-referenced deduction to the Proof of Claim, the Debtors reconciled the Proof of Claim as illustrated in the following chart:

TGI Direct's Asserted Amount		\$24,459.00
Modifications	Duplicate Invoice	(\$24,459.00)
Reconciled Am	ount	\$0.00

8. The Debtors request that the Proof of Claim be expunged in its entirety.

Reservation Of Rights

9. This Statement Of Disputed Issues is submitted by the Debtors pursuant to paragraph 9(d) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"). Consistent with the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the Debtors' submission of this Statement Of Disputed Issues is without prejudice to (a) the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim(s) and (b) the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Claim(s).

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) expunging Proof of Claim No. 16780 in its entirety and (b) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York December 15, 2008

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.

John Wm. Butler, Jr.

Albert L. Hogan, III

John K. Lyons

Ron E. Meisler

333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Thomas J. Matz
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al., Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession